

**Remarks**


Applicants have amended the Claim 1 and added new Claims 10 and 11 to correct the administrative error in the previously filed Response and Amendment to address the rejection noted below. Applicants submit support for the amendment can be found generally throughout the text and specifically in the previously submitted Claim 1. Applicants submit no new matter has been added by the present amendment.

**Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant has amended Claim 1 to delete the phrase "such as" and the subsequent subject matter and added new Claims. Applicant submits the amended Claim 1 is definite and further submits dependent Claims 2-9 are now dependent upon a definite claim. Accordingly, Applicant requests withdrawal of this ground of rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

By

  
Jennifer R. Seng  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 45,851

LANXESS Corporation  
Law & Intellectual Property Department  
111 RIDC Park West Drive  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15275-1112  
(412) 809-2233  
FACSIMILE PHONE NO.:  
(412) 809-1054

\mr

S:\Law Shared\SHARED\JRS\PATENTS\7962\Amendment 11-16-06.doc